An analysis of wheat price growth rates at América

Abstract – The present work sought to analyze the behavior of wheat price growth rates in the world market. For this purpose, monthly information of prices in the markets of Brazil, Argentina and the United States was used. To estimate the growth rate of wheat prices, the methodologies proposed by Perron and Yabu (2009a, 2009b) were adopted, which consist of estimating the deterministic trend in a context where the noise component can be integrated or stationary, and is dedicated to test if there is a structural change in the growth rate of wheat prices. The results showed that in all the analyzed markets, the growth rates of wheat prices were constant.
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Introduction

There is consensus among various works, such as Bass (2011), FAO (2010, 2012) and Troester and Staritz (2013), that food price developments and price volatility can have various impacts at the country and household level. Two-thirds of developing countries are net importers of basic food commodities. But even in developing countries where imports only account for a small share of the total food consumption, global com-
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An important impact on local markets (BASS, 2011).

Wheat is a cereal used in large scale in the making of various food products, beverages and animal rations and, thus, is considered, according to Faria (2009), to be the main source of human food, and one of the main agricultural products traded in the international market.

Almeida et al. (2011) highlight that this commodity is basically destined to food, and as it does not possess products which are direct substitutes, this grain has a unique importance in the world market.

Furthermore, the wheat market has an interesting characteristic, given that some countries are simultaneously great exporters and importers, such as the United States of America and France.

Concerning the season of 2012/2013, according to data from Safas & Mercados, the United States of America produced 61 thousand tons being, thus, responsible for 9% of the world market production. Meanwhile, Argentina and Brazil are responsible for, respectively, 2% and 1% of world production; however, Argentina is responsible for 5% of worldwide wheat exports.

Wheat represents one of the products which are imported the most in Brazilian agribusiness. In 2007, around 70% of the wheat consumed in Brazil was imported, mainly, from Argentina, having produced circa 4 million tons, and consuming 10.5 million tons (FARIA, 2009).

In Brazil, according to Colle (1998), the wheat production chain has certain interest groups, represented by the input, machinery and equipment industries, as well as the milling and processing industries, and producers and consumers, which provided competition gains, particularly after the Brazilian commercial openness which occurred in the early 1990s, and the intensification of the Mercosur trading zone.

In recent years, due to the appreciation of the real against the dollar, and also the drought experienced in Brazil’s southern region, the national production of wheat, besides favoring Argentine wheat, allowed the free entry of subsidized wheat directly from the United States and the European Union, or by means of triangulation via Uruguay and other countries, even with the Common External Tariff within the Mercosur zone (BRUM; MILLER, 2008).

Currently, the Brazilian wheat cropping sector is facing difficulties in marketing domestic wheat, as a consequence of the ease of importing wheat from abroad. Furthermore, this sector is under the threat of not being self-sufficient, since Brazilian producers do not have sufficient comparative and competitive advantages in relation to Argentine producers (BRUM; MILLER, 2008).

Another relevant point is that Brazil also competes with the United States, which is, at present, the greatest exporter of wheat in the world, as the American wheat sector has numerous comparative advantages, such as subsidies and wheat import barriers in the American market (ROSSI; NEVES, 2004).

Argentine wheat represents 96% of Brazilian wheat imports, because of lower costs, interest rates and easier payment methods, followed by Paraguay and the United States of America (FARIA, 2009) as the main providers of the commodity for Brazil.

Thus, this present work aims to analyze the behavior of wheat price growth rates in the world market. For that purpose, monthly information on the wheat prices in the markets of Brazil, Argentina and the United States is used. The

---

5 For Almeida et al. (2011), this occurs because of the diversity of wheat, and also because of the conditions of being exporter and importer in the season and offseason, respectively.

6 Safas & Mercado is a Brazilian consulting firm in agribusiness. Available at: <http://www.safras.com.br/>.

7 Mercosur is an economic and political agreement among Argentina, Brazil, Paraguay, Uruguay, and Venezuela; with Bolivia becoming an acceding member on 7 December 2012 to be ratified by member state legislatures. Its purpose is to promote free trade and the fluid movement of goods, people, and currency.
analysis is performed by estimating trend models to capture, mainly, which of the aforementioned markets presented the greatest growth during the 2004 to 2012 period. The period is chosen to analyze if there is a significant difference in the four year periods immediately before and after the 2008 financial and food crises.

To estimate the price growth rate, the methodology presented in Perron and Yabu (2009a, 2009b) is used, which consists of estimating the deterministic trend in a context where the noise component can be integrated or stationary and is also dedicated to testing if there is a structural change in the wheat price growth rate.

Thus, it can be said that through estimating the wheat price growth rates in the markets for those three countries, macroeconomic policies can be suggested for the wheat sector, since markets are integrated and do not suffer from price arbitrage.

To present the discussion of the issue at hand and the findings, it was opted to divide the work in five sections, including this introduction. In the following section there is a description of the worldwide wheat market, highlighting the importance of the countries which produce, export and import the commodity. Afterwards, a very brief literature review is presented, with some of the most recent works debating the issue. Data presentation and discussion is featured in the fourth section. Afterwards, the model is presented, as well as the estimation methods featured in Perron and Yabu (2009a, 2009b), which are used in this work, and in the next section, the results are presented and analyzed. Lastly, the concluding remarks are made.

**Worldwide wheat market**

According to data from Safras & Mercado (2012), the European Union is responsible for roughly 20% of the worldwide production of wheat. The United States is in 5th place, where its production represents 9% of worldwide production. The Argentine market produces 2% of worldwide production, being the eleventh producer, and it takes first place in terms of the Mercosur trading zone, while Brazil is responsible for 1% of worldwide wheat production, being in twelfth place in the world ranking, and second place considering the Mercosur, as can be observed in Table 1.

Concerning the countries which export wheat, the greatest worldwide exporters in 2012 were the United States of America, responsible for 24% of wheat exports, followed by Russia, Canada, Australia and the European Union, all being responsible for over 10% of exports, as can be observed in Figure 1. Note that Argentine exports represent 5% of worldwide wheat exports. Brazil, on the other hand, is not featured among the greater wheat exporters. This fact can also be verified in Table 2, as the country is responsible for only 1% of worldwide production.

As for the world’s most significant importers in 2012, 17% of worldwide wheat production is imported by North Africa, followed by the Middle East and Southeast Asia, respectively, 15% and 12%. Figure 2 also shows that Brazil is the fifth greatest wheat importer in the world, importing around 5% of the world’s wheat.

Analyzing the wheat production of the Mercosur trading zone, the main producers are Argentina, followed by Brazil, Uruguay and Paraguay. Furthermore, it can be observed that the crops analyzed in Argentina and Brazil are responsible for at least 86% of production in the Mercosur region, as Argentina alone represents at least 50% of the region’s wheat production, making it the main producer for that market.

The relationship among these markets is highlighted by Margarido and Turolla (2012), since the markets for wheat flour in Brazil and the grain of wheat in Argentina are fully integrated, and therefore variations in prices of wheat grain...
Table 1. Worldwide Wheat Production Ranking (in a thousand tons of grains), crops of 2007/2008 to 2012/2013(1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>European Union</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noth Africa</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle East</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>612</td>
<td>683</td>
<td>685</td>
<td>651</td>
<td>694</td>
<td>665</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Crop prediction for 2012/2013.

Figure 1. Largest wheat exporters.
Source: Safras & Mercado (2012).

in Argentina are transferred fully to prices of wheat flour in Brazil in the long run.10 According to those authors, the prices in Argentina are more competitive than the prices of wheat in the United States because of the Mercosur.

Note that the production of Argentina and Brazil represent, together, over 80% of the region’s wheat production. This occurs, mainly, because of the Argentine market, which significantly increased its production after 2011, and represents over 60% of production in the region.

This is also due to a reduction in Brazilian production for two reasons; one related to the exchange rate, and the other related to climate issues. The first is the appreciation of the real against the dollar, while the other considers the drought experienced in southern Brazil and,

---

10 Margarido e Turolla (2012) does not find evidence of integration among prices in Brazil and the United States, i.e., prices in the United States do not affect prices in Brazil.
consequently, there is a boost in imports of Argentine wheat in Brazil\textsuperscript{11}.

\textbf{Literature}

Due to recent food crises, mainly the ones in 2006-2008 and 2010-2011, a number of authors have dedicated themselves to analyzing commodity prices, particularly of wheat, for, as Troester and Staritz (2013) argue, it has become, along with rice, the most important commodity worldwide, as it is a staple food for most of the world’s population. In developing countries the large majority of wheat is used for food whereas in developed countries an important share is also used for animal feed in particular poorer quality wheat. In that particular work, Troester and Staritz (2013) analyze trends in the global wheat market by developing a supply and demand model, and find that there is a gap in the model predictions and prices in the wheat market. The authors argue that financialization, as well as financial speculation and recent shifts in the trading on commodity derivative markets may also impact global wheat prices.

In another recent work, Gutierrez and Piras (2013) develop a global VAR model, arguing that conventional models have not provided a complete picture of the recent price spikes in agricultural commodity markets, and that there is an urgent need for appropriate policy responses, as food commodity prices fluctuations have important impacts on poverty and food insecurity across the world. Thus, the authors present results from a worldwide dynamic model that provides short and long-run impulse responses of wheat international price to various real and financial shocks. The results show that stocks, oil

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Production (in a thousand tons) of the largest Wheat Producers in the Mercosur region.}
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\hline
Argentina & 12.000 & 14.000 & 8.750 & 9.350 \\
Brazil & 5.285 & 5.656 & 6.002 & 5.000 \\
Uruguay & 1.500 & 1.800 & 1.200 & 1.900 \\
Paraguay & 1.250 & 1.100 & 1.150 & 910 \\
Mercosur & 20.035 & 22.556 & 17.102 & 17.160 \\
Argentina/Mercosur & 0,5985 & 0,6207 & 0,5116 & 0,5448 \\
(Arg+Bra)/Mercosur (in %) & 86,27 & 87,14 & 86,25 & 83,62 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{flushleft}
Note: * Preliminary estimate.
\end{flushleft}
\end{table}

\textbf{Figure 2.} Largest wheat importers. (2012).
Source: Safras & Mercado (2012).

\textsuperscript{11} For more details, see Safras & Mercado (2012).
price and US dollar depreciation affect worldwide wheat prices.

Baffes and Dennis (2013) study the issue in a more general manner, analyzing not only wheat prices, but other food commodities such as maize, rice, soybeans and palm oil, using annual data from 1960 to 2012 and long-run elasticity estimates. The authors conclude that most of the price increases during that period are accounted for by crude oil prices (more than 50 percent), following the findings of Gutierrez and Piras (2013), followed by stock-to-use ratios and exchange rate movements, which are estimated at about 15 percent each. Crude oil prices mattered most during the recent boom period, according to Baffes and Dennis (2013), because they experienced the largest increase.

Thus, as summarized by De Gorter (2008), many factors have contributed to higher commodity prices in recent years, and these be categorized as follows: (1) macroeconomic forces like declining U.S. $ exchange rates and real interest rates, the latter leading to a wave of speculation in commodity markets; (2) higher oil prices increasing input costs and demand for biofuels; (3) changes in fundamentals of the underlying supply/demand situation such as income growth, especially in Asia, and lower supply growth because of neglect in agricultural R&D expenditures; (4) supply shocks due to bad weather and crop disease; (5) failure to reform current agricultural policies while rising prices have led to policy actions in the short run such as export taxes and bans as well as reduced tariffs on commodity imports; and (6) biofuel policies.

Some authors have also analyzed the Brazilian scenario. For instance, Machado and Freitas (2012) study the dynamics of price formation in the Brazilian wheat market by using the Dickey-Fuller stationarity test and the Johansen co-integration test. The authors find that wheat prices in the U.S. have active participation in the long term equilibrium prices in Brazil, while price variation in Argentina was not statistically significant to explain price formation in Brazil.

Bender Filho et al. (2013) study the factors which impact Brazilian wheat imports in the Mercosur trading zone context, through the use of an autoregressive error-correction vector model. The results indicate that the amount of wheat imported in Brazil suffered a negative influence from external prices, and that exchange rate devaluations increase imports.

As for the Argentine case, Coronel et al. (2010) analyze if wheat prices of the Argentine and the International markets are related, and if these markets are integrated in space. The period studied is from January, 1994 to April, 2009, and the authors use unit root tests, Granger causality and the Johansen co-integration test, as well as the estimation of the impulse response function decomposition of error variation and estimation and analysis of the error correction model. The results indicated that variations in the international prices of wheat were almost completely transmitted for the run long. However, the authors claim, it is not possible to affirm that the Argentine and the International markets are perfectly integrated even if they have a higher transmission of prices This is because the hypothesis of perfect integration between the markets is rejected when restrictions were imposed to the coefficients related to the long run. Results also indicated that wheat prices in international markets affect the price levels in Argentina.

Therefore, considering such apparent fluctuations in prices and imports, thus taking the findings of all these works into account, this present work aims to analyze the dynamics of wheat prices in the world markets, particularly the Brazilian, Argentine and American markets. The data used for this purpose is discussed in the following section.

Data

In this work, monthly information on the price of wheat for nine producing markets is used, four of those producing markets being in Brazil, three in Argentina, and two in the United States of America, to reach the objective of
analyzing the growth rates in the world’s largest exporter of wheat, and the two main producers of the commodity in the Mercosur commercial zone, in the 2004 to 2012 period. The period is chosen, as explained in the introduction of this work, because it allows the analysis of the trends in wheat prices before and after the financial and food crises when, according to a The global social... (2011), there was a significant spike in food and energy prices, for a myriad of reasons.

In Brazil, according to the IBGE (2013), wheat production is focused mainly in the country’s southern region; particularly in the states of Rio Grande do Sul and Paraná, which together are responsible for over 80% of the national production, as can be observed in Table 3.

The state of Paraná stands out in terms of national production when compared to the state of Rio Grande do Sul, according to Brum and Heck (2005) and Brum and Miller (2008), for the following reasons: i) climate conditions; (ii) the anticipation of the wheat harvest, allowing the product to be marketed in the middle region of the country before the entry of imported product, derived mainly from Argentina and, iii) the proximity to the Southeast, the region with the largest consumer base and processing center of the country, that can channel the crop with lower transport costs.

Note in Figure 3 that the percentage of wheat production in the states of Paraná and Rio Grande do Sul together in relation to the Brazilian production as a whole is on average 80%. Also note that, between 2004 and 2010, the production in Rio Grande do Sul (RS) is inferior to the production in Paraná (PR), and in 2011, that relation is inverted, and the joint production reaches 91.21% of the national wheat production. Thus, it can be said that these two markets are the largest wheat producers in Brazil.

Considering the Argentine producers, there are the Bahía Blanca and Necochea regions, in the Buenos Aires province and the Up River in Santa Fé. According to Coronel et al. (2010), wheat production in Argentina is focused mainly in the province of Buenos Aires, with approximately 47% of total wheat production in the country. Córdoba12 comes next, with 23%, and then Santa Fé, with 14%.

As for the American market, the state of Kansas stands out, being the largest national wheat producer. Great part of the American wheat, and also from other countries, is traded through the Chicago Stock Exchange, which has as main agricultural commodities soybeans and corn (SAFRAS & MERCADO, 2012).

To estimate the growth rates in wheat prices, monthly information for the period of January 2004 to June 2012 is used, rounding up 102 observations. The price series for the nine aforementioned markets were obtained from the Safras & Mercado13 consulting agency, with prices in US$ per ton, based on FOB US Gulf price quotations.

Table 3. Wheat production (in thousand tons) in Paraná (PR), Rio Grande do Sul (RS) and Brazil: 2004-2011.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brazil and Federation units (states)</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PR</td>
<td>3,051</td>
<td>2,767</td>
<td>1,236</td>
<td>1,927</td>
<td>3,068</td>
<td>2,483</td>
<td>3,443</td>
<td>2,445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS</td>
<td>2,061</td>
<td>1,390</td>
<td>823</td>
<td>1,723</td>
<td>2,199</td>
<td>1,912</td>
<td>2,117</td>
<td>2,745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>5,112</td>
<td>4,159</td>
<td>2,895</td>
<td>4,170</td>
<td>5,097</td>
<td>5,460</td>
<td>5,560</td>
<td>5,190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(PR+RS)/Brazil (in %)</td>
<td>87.25</td>
<td>89.22</td>
<td>50.04</td>
<td>48.72</td>
<td>87.39</td>
<td>86.92</td>
<td>90.10</td>
<td>91.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: elaborated using data from IBGE (2013).

12 It was not possible to gather information on the wheat production of that province.
13 Available at: <www.safras.com.br>.
Initially, this study estimates the average rate of growth of the price of wheat for each of the nine markets and, therefore, the following equation is used:

\[ y_t = \alpha + \beta t + \epsilon_t \]  

Where \( y_t \) is the logarithm of the price of wheat, \( \beta \) is the parameter which captures the deterministic trend of the price, and \( \epsilon_t \) is the error term. It is worth noting that the subscript \( t \) refers to time, measured in months, from January 2004 to June 2012.

From the estimation of this model, the objective consists of verifying if the rate of growth in wheat prices is increasing or decreasing, which is equivalent to testing if \( H_0 : \beta = 0 \) vis-à-vis \( H_1 : \beta \neq 0 \). Rejecting the null hypothesis, it can be said that there is a deterministic trend in the growth rate of wheat prices and, in case it is positive, \( \beta > 0 \) that would be indicative that

### Trend models

After presenting the data, it is interesting to visualize the price series’ behavior over time in the American, Argentine and Brazilian wheat markets (Table 4).

Notice in Figure 4 that during the analyzed period the price series exhibit an upward trend that lasted until mid-2008 (more specifically, May 2008). Then, there is a downturn in prices and, from 2009 onwards, there is a slight recovery in prices, close to the level of 2004.

However, although it seems relatively simple to identify the trend of a time series from the graphical analysis and descriptive statistics, the results can be misleading depending on their properties. In that sense, it is appropriate to employ an econometric methodology to estimate the deterministic trend in wheat prices for the aforementioned markets. Thus, the next section features the presentation of the trend models, with and without structural breaks, as well as the methodology proposed by Perron and Yabu (2009a, 2009b).
Table 4. Price series and wheat markets analyzed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Market</th>
<th>Series</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bahía Blanca/Argentina</td>
<td>Average monthly price of wheat on the Bahía Blanca (Argentina) market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Necochea/Argentina</td>
<td>Average monthly price of wheat on the Necochea(^{(1)}) (Argentina) market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up River/Argentina</td>
<td>Average monthly price of wheat on the Up River (Argentina) market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago/USA</td>
<td>Average monthly price of wheat on the Chicago (USA) market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas/USA</td>
<td>Average monthly price of wheat on the Kansas (USA) market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curitiba/Brazil</td>
<td>Average monthly price of wheat on the Curitiba/PR (Brazil) market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porto Alegre/Brazil</td>
<td>Average monthly price of wheat on the Porto Alegre/RS (Brazil) market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maringá/Brazil</td>
<td>Average monthly price of wheat on the Maringá/PR (Brazil) market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cascavel/Brazil</td>
<td>Average monthly price of wheat on the Cascavel/PR (Brazil) market</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{(1)}\) Market located in the province of Buenos Aires, where a large part of the Argentinian wheat market is. For more details, see Safras & Mercado (2012).

Figure 4. Monthly wheat price series, January 2004 to May 2012.
Source: elaborated based on data from Safras & Mercado (2012).

there is a significant growth in prices, while if \(\beta < 0\), then the growth rate in wheat prices is decreasing. And, if \(\beta = 0\), it can be inferred that the price of wheat increased at a constant rate during the period analyzed.

To estimate the growth rate of the price of wheat in Brazil, Argentina and the United States of America, a procedure developed by Perron and Yabu (2009a) is used, which considers the slope of the trend as an unknown pattern, since
the series can possess a stationary trend or a unit root. To estimate equation (1), the Feasible Quasi Generalized Least Squares (FQGLS) method is used, as it allows inferring on the parameter of the slope using the standard normal deviation14.

However, as the series are susceptible to structural breaks15, and these breaks can invalidate the results of the statistical tests by not modelling these changes, it was opted to also use the methodology featured in Perron and Yabu (2009b), which consists of estimating equation (1) with a dummy variable determined endogenously, to capture the existence of a structural change in the trend of the prices of wheat.

The break date is estimated from the minimization of the sum of squared errors, being captured from the inclusion of a dummy variable in the regression, as follows:

\[ y_t = \alpha + \beta_1 t + \beta_2 DT = e_t \] (2)

where \( DT = 1 (t > TB) \times (t - TB) \)

Note that (2) differs from (1) only because of the \( DT \) term, which represents the dummy variable to capture the structural change, while \( TB \) reports the data of the change. The test statistic is based on the FQGLS procedure, with a superefficient estimator with known break dates, based on the Wald test16. On the other hand, with unknown break dates17, the limitation of the distributions of the test statistics still depends on the dichotomy between the orders of integration of the series, \( i.e., I(0) \) or \( I(1) \). However, the Perron and Yabu (2009a) procedure is robust for the presence of components in the error term, \( i.e., \) whether it be stationary or integrated18.

As the asymptotic critical values are very close to all significance levels, one allows that in both cases, \( I(0) \) and \( I(1) \), there is asymptotic convergence. Furthermore, the simulations performed by Perron and Yabu (2009b) show significant improvements in relation to existing tests19.

Based on the above, it should be clear that the choice for the Perron and Yabu (2009a, 2009b) techniques for analyzing the growth rates of wheat prices in Brazil, Argentina and the United States is based on the solid performance of such technique, whether the series is stationary or not.

Results

From the data obtained from Safras & Mercados for the January 2004 to June 2012 period of wheat prices for the nine aforementioned markets, the model that was estimated first was the one represented by equation (1), \( i.e., \) the deterministic trend model for the price of wheat. Afterwards, equation (2) was estimated, to test if throughout the period analyzed, there was any significant structural change in prices.

Thus, the presentation of the results is based on the statistical significance of the suggested break, because if the suggested date is not statistically significant, then the analysis and discussion of the results are based on the model without breaks.

Table 5 presents the estimates of the trend in wheat prices, following the methodology of Perron and Yabu (2009a). The results showed that all markets are growing at a steady rate over the analyzed period. In other words, the growth

---

14 Perron e Yabu (2009a) argue that it is possible that the function of that test is normally distributed, with critical value at the 95% confidence level with ± 1.96.
15 As can be observed in Figure 3.
16 The Wald test is asymptotically distributed as a chi-square random variable.
17 It is worth mentioning that the choice of the date of the break is made endogenously. In case it is statistically significant, the model with structural break is used in detriment of the linear model.
18 The integrated term denotes the degree of differentiation required to achieve stationarity (which here means having constant mean and variance over time).
19 Because it has greater range and power than the Bunzel and Vogelsang (2005) and Harvey et al. (2007) tests.
rate of the price of wheat in the markets analyzed is statistically equal to zero from January 2004 to June 2012. Thus, it can be said that these markets are integrated, since the growth rate of wheat prices is constant in Argentina, Brazil and the U.S.

Table 6 includes estimates of the trend before and after the break, and the date of the break, as well as the t-statistic, for the prices in the wheat market. Note that for the nine markets analyzed, none had significant structural change at the 5% level. In other words, for all markets, the estimates of the linear model, presented in Table 5, are statistically valid.

However, in terms of the break date, which is reported in Table 6, for both the Brazilian and Argentine markets, the break occurs in the same period (March 2008). As for the American market, it can be observed that in Chicago, the break occurs in December 2007, while in Kansas, it occurs in January 2008. As the structural break analysis is statistically insignificant, it can be inferred that this break acts only as an adjustment in the worldwide wheat market.

The break occurs firstly on the American market, and then on the other markets. This occurs because worldwide wheat prices are determined initially at the Chicago Stock Exchange. Afterwards the price determination in Chicago, the breaks occurs in the other markets in the Mercosur zone (Argentina and Brazil), which depend on the Chicago quotations for their international trading (exports and imports of wheat).

The break period coincides with the world wheat crisis, which occurs because of an increase in consumption, and production was not able to meet demand. According to Pichetti (2010) and The global social… (2011), the prices of

---

### Table 5. Estimations of Trends in the prices of wheat, using the methodology from Perron e Yabu (2009a).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Market/country</th>
<th>Intercept</th>
<th>Trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bahía Blanca/Argentina</td>
<td>164.7402</td>
<td>1,0098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Necochea/Argentina</td>
<td>164.6654</td>
<td>0,8846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up River/Argentina</td>
<td>161.6466</td>
<td>0,9534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago/USA</td>
<td>154.5547</td>
<td>0,9853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas/USA</td>
<td>166.0521</td>
<td>1,1579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curitiba/Brazil</td>
<td>155.2048</td>
<td>1,0052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porto Alegre/Brazil</td>
<td>150.6277</td>
<td>0,8323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maringá/Brazil</td>
<td>151.6932</td>
<td>0,9968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cascavel/Brazil</td>
<td>148.1726</td>
<td>1,0074</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: standard deviation in parentheses.
the main agricultural commodities (particularly wheat) increase because of a considerable expansion of foreign consumption, which comes to a halt due to the worldwide financial crisis in 2008. According to Pichetti (2010), the global supply of wheat was also hampered by adverse climate change.

**Concluding remarks**

This work investigated the behavior of the growth rates in the prices of wheat for three countries, Brazil and Argentina, being the main wheat producers in the Mercosur trading zone, and the United States of America, the largest wheat exporter in the world. Wheat, besides being a cereal widely used in the preparation of various food products, beverages and animal rations, is the largest commodity traded in the international market.

For that purpose, monthly data on the prices of wheat in nine markets in Brazil, Argentina and the United States was used, being three of those markets in Argentina, two in the U.S. and four in Brazil. It is worth noting that these three countries together account for 12% of the world production of wheat. The analysis is taken from the estimation of trend models to capture, mainly, which of aforementioned markets had the highest growth rate during the period 2004-2012, which are the years before and after the financial and food crises of 2008, where commodity prices spiked worldwide.

It was observed that both in the Brazilian markets, as well as those in Argentina, breaks occurred in the same period (March 2008). However, in the American market, in Chicago, the break occurred in December 2007, while in Kansas, it was in January 2008.

Therefore, the breaks occurred firstly in the American market, and only afterwards in the other markets, due to the fact that worldwide wheat prices are determined initially in the Chicago Stock Exchange. Afterwards, the other markets follow its trend, firstly in Chicago, then in Kansas, and only then the Argentine and Brazilian markets, as these markets depend of Chicago quotations for international trading (i.e. exporting and importing wheat).

The break period coincides with the worldwide wheat crisis (end of 2007, early 2008), which occurred because of an increase in consumption that could not be met sufficiently by the supply, mainly because of adverse climate conditions. However, this increase was interrupted due to the 2008 financial crisis.

Thus, overall, it can be said that between 2004 and 2012, the growth rates in wheat prices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Market/country</th>
<th>Break date</th>
<th>Intercept</th>
<th>Pre-break</th>
<th>Post-break</th>
<th>Test statistic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bahía Blanca/Argentina</td>
<td>March/2008</td>
<td>160.6050</td>
<td>5.1450</td>
<td>-3.0443</td>
<td>-0.1689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Necochea/Argentina</td>
<td>March/2008</td>
<td>160.4010</td>
<td>5.1490</td>
<td>-3.2963</td>
<td>-0.162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up River/Argentina</td>
<td>March/2008</td>
<td>157.3920</td>
<td>5.2080</td>
<td>-3.2178</td>
<td>-0.1624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago/USA</td>
<td>December/2007</td>
<td>151.2768</td>
<td>4.2632</td>
<td>-1.8676</td>
<td>-0.1582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas/USA</td>
<td>January/2008</td>
<td>162.6800</td>
<td>4.5300</td>
<td>-1.8960</td>
<td>-0.0702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curitiba/Brazil</td>
<td>March/2008</td>
<td>150.3706</td>
<td>5.8394</td>
<td>-3.7341</td>
<td>-0.1188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porto Alegre/Brazil</td>
<td>March/2008</td>
<td>146.9726</td>
<td>4.4874</td>
<td>-2.7512</td>
<td>-0.1876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maringá/Brazil</td>
<td>March/2008</td>
<td>146.9150</td>
<td>5.7750</td>
<td>-3.6876</td>
<td>-0.1504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cascavel/Brazil</td>
<td>March/2008</td>
<td>143.4050</td>
<td>5.7750</td>
<td>-3.6667</td>
<td>-0.1308</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: the critical value of the structural break at the 5% level is of 1.67.
in Argentina, Brazil and the United States increased at a constant rate.

In this sense, public policies would be advised, such as expanding access to credit and reducing taxes, with the purpose of stimulating increased wheat production. That way, Brazilian production could be able to supply the domestic market, which is in great demand. In addition, non-dependence on the Argentine and American markets could be improved, and can be a positive point in generating a fiscal surplus.
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